## CHECKLIST FOR STABILITY ASSESSMENTS

INTERPRETATION/DISCUSSION

## **FACTUAL INFORMATION**

31 May 1998 J:\1315\0sac2903.wpd

1.	INTRODUCTION	7.	SLOPE STABILITY (Ref. 2,3,4)
	☐ Report prepared for who?		► ENGINEERING GEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT:
	☐ Site Location		☐ Discuss site features
	☐ Outline of proposed development <sup>(b)</sup>		☐ Discuss geological setting/influences <sup>(e)</sup>
_	☐ Comment on need for earthquake assessment		☐ Influence of rainfall/groundwater
2.	TOPOGRAPHY		☐ Reasons for landform (local, regional)
	☐ Outline current landform (slope shape, height gradient,		☐ Likely slope failure mechanisms
	irregularities, erosion, soil creep/terracettes)		□ Potential for Instability
	☐ Outline surface drainage patterns <sup>(b)</sup>		☐ Effects of the development on slopes <sup>(f)</sup>
	Review aerial photos		☐ Consequence of instability
	☐ Comment on any previous earthworks		☐ Empirical assessment (qualitative)
	Comment on any existing instability <sup>(c)</sup>		☐ Risk rating applied <sup>(g)</sup>
	Additional site features (e.g. vegetation/trees		<ul> <li>□ State whether stability analyses are required</li> <li>▶ GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING ANALYSES</li> </ul>
•	structures <sup>(b)</sup> retaining walls, roads/driveways, services)		☐ Geotechnical slope model correct?
3.	SITE HISTORY		☐ Analytical method stated
	Outline current/previous landuse		☐ Determination of critical section of slope
	<ul> <li>□ Comment on previous siteworks<sup>(b)</sup></li> <li>□ Reference "District Hazard Map"/GIS</li> </ul>		☐ Assessment of strength parameters
	☐ Comment on previous instability <sup>(c)</sup>		☐ Assessment of groundwater profile/rainfall
	□ Performance of existing structures		☐ Back analysis of any existing failures
	☐ Review aerial photos		☐ External loads due to the development
	☐ Comment on previous contamination <sup>(c)</sup>		☐ State need for seismic analysis
4.			□ Normal FOS requirements:
⊸.	☐ Describe geological setting		<ul> <li>Static (Design gwt) FOS ≥ 1.5</li> </ul>
	☐ Refer to relevant maps		<ul> <li>Static (Extreme gwt) FOS ≥ 1.2</li> </ul>
	☐ Geological influences on stability (e.g. bedding, weak		<ul><li>Seismic (150 year EQ) FOS ≥ 1.2</li></ul>
	materials, faults)		Sensitive analyses for parameters required?
	☐ Describe seismic setting		☐ Results and comments
5.	INVESTIGATIONS		GEOTECHNICAL EFFECTS OF DEVELOPMENT
	► FIELD		☐ Slope stability risk increased or reduced?
	☐ Inspection by geotechnical specialist		☐ Is the development feasible?
	□ Descriptions of soils/rock in borelogs (Ref.1)		☐ Need to drain slopes (surface/subsurface)?
	☐ Outcrop/cutting descriptions <sup>(c)</sup>		□ Need to remove/upgrade fill?
	<ul> <li>Record Extent of any cracking<sup>(c)</sup></li> </ul>		☐ Subsurface drainage beneath fills?
	☐ Other field tests (e.g. CPT, etc.)		□ Need to retain slopes/secure rock faces?
	☐ Monitoring of ground movements <sup>(c)</sup>		☐ Foundation conditions/requirements
	☐ Groundwater measurements and observations		<ul> <li>□ Effect of stormwater/effluent disposal</li> <li>□ Effect of service lines rupture (e.g. SW, sewer)</li> </ul>
	(seepage, subsurface erosion)(c)		Effect of river/coastal erosion
	► LABORATORY		☐ Seismic effects on development and slope
	☐ Outline tests undertaken		☐ Maintenance requirements for life of the development
	☐ Summarise results		a mantonano roquiromente tel mo el me de respinente
	<ul><li>☐ Previous testing in local area</li><li>SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS</li></ul>	9.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
ъ.		٠.	
	<ul> <li>☐ Geological interpretation<sup>(c)</sup></li> <li>☐ Summarise subsoil conditions, e.g. extent of fill<sup>(c)</sup></li> </ul>	10.	STATEMENT BY GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSOR AS
	topsoil, nature and distribution of soils/rock		TO THEIR ABILITY & QUALIFICATIONS TO
	☐ Describe soil strengths/density, likely behaviour - refer		PREPARE THIS GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
	to tests and logs	_	
	☐ Highlight weak/sensitive/loose soils or rock defects	DR	AWINGS/FIGURES
	☐ Describe groundwater conditions, subsurface drainage,		
	expected seasonal fluctuations		<ul> <li>Outline of Proposed Development</li> </ul>
_			
	APPENDICES		Site Engineering Geological Maps <sup>(d)</sup>
[	□ Borelogs, Testpit Logs, Logs of Exposures (Ref.1)		Site Contours Maps <sup>(d)</sup> } Cuts and fills
[	☐ Laboratory Results		Cross Sections } indicated
(	□ Specifications for Remedial Works/Fills		Geotechnical Model
_[	☐ Site Photos		Stability Analyses Results
REFERENCES 1. Guidelines for the Description of Soils & Rock, NZ Geomechanics Society (1985) 2. Assessment of Slope Stability at Building Sites, BRANZ Study SR4, (1987) 3. Slope Stability in Urban Development, DSIR Series 122 (1981) 4. Stability of House Sites & Foundations, Earthquake & War Damages Commission, NZ Geomechanics Society (1980) 5. Land Assessment for Development Suitability, Burns & Farquhar, NZ Geotechnical Symposium (1996)			
NOTES (a) This checklist is intended as a guide for typical stability investigation & assessments for residential developments. The			
	may be additional requirements for specifically d	difficult	sites, large scale developments and regional hazards
	(b) Indicate on site plan		
	<ul><li>(c) Indicate on site engineering geological map</li><li>(d) These plans/maps are best combined if possible</li></ul>	<b>-</b>	
	(e) Ref.3 provides a valuable outline of stability prof	blems r	peculiar to selected areas of NZ
	(f) Refer BRANZ document Fig 3 (ref.2 above), Sta	ability H	ouse Sites and Foundations (ref. 4 above)
3	1 May 1998 (g) See 6.6 - "Risk Rating", Design of Permanent Sk	opes fo	r Residential Development, Crawford & Millar for EQC (1998)